

文章编号: 1000-5013(2008)02-0213-05

# Cryptanalysis of Threshold Signature Scheme for Group Communication

HAN Jin-guang<sup>1</sup>, KANG Bao-yuan<sup>2</sup>, WANG Qing-ju<sup>3</sup>

(1. College of Sciences, Hohai University, Nanjing 210098, China;

2. College of Mathematics Science and Computing Technology, Central South University, Changsha 410075, China;

(3. Department of Mathematics, Shaoxing College of Art and Science, Shaoxing 312000, China)

**Abstract:** Recently, Chang proposed a  $(t, n)$  threshold signature with  $(k, l)$  threshold shared verification to be used in a group oriented cryptosystem without a shared distribution center (SDC). In their scheme, any  $t$  participants can represent a group (signing group) to sign a message, and any  $k$  participants can represent another group (verifying group) to verify the signature. In this paper, we will argue that Chang's scheme is vulnerable to the impersonation attack, and violates the basic definition requirement of  $(t, n)$  threshold signature with  $(k, l)$  threshold shared verification.

**Keywords:** cryptanalysis; impersonation attack; proxy signature; threshold cryptosystem

**CLC Number:** TP 309

**Document Code:** A

In 1991, Desmedt and Frankel proposed the concept of  $(t, n)$  threshold signature scheme<sup>[1]</sup>. Since then, many threshold signature scheme are proposed<sup>[2-14]</sup>. In 2000, Wang brought up a new idea that the  $(t, n)$  threshold signature on behalf of the signing group should be able to be verified by  $(k, l)$  threshold shared verification on behalf of the verifying group<sup>[2]</sup>. In 2002, however, Hsu pointed that any adversary can reveal the signing group's secret key from two valid threshold signature and then forge a threshold signature in Wang's scheme. And they proposed a improved scheme which can withstand the attack<sup>[3]</sup>. But Hsu's improved scheme need a shared distribution center (SDC). Recently, Chang proposed a threshold signature scheme for group communications without a SDC<sup>[4]</sup>. In this paper, we will argue that Chang's scheme is vulnerable to the impersonation attack.

## 1 Review of Chang's Scheme

In this section, we will briefly review Chang's scheme. Chang's scheme is comprised of four phases. (1) Key generation phase. (2) Individual signature generation and verification. (3) Threshold signature generation and encrypting phase. (4) Decrypting and threshold signature verifying phase.

### 1.1 Key Generation Phase

In their scheme, they used the following notations: (1)  $G_s$ ,  $G_s = \{u_{s_1}, u_{s_2}, \dots, u_{s_n}\}$  is defined as the signing group of signers;  $g_s$ ,  $g_s (|g_s| = t \leq n)$  is any subset of size  $t$  in  $G_s$ ;  $G_v$ ,  $G_v = \{u_{v_1}, u_{v_2}, \dots, u_{v_l}\}$  is defined as the verifying group of  $l$  verifiers;  $g_v (|g_v| = k \leq l)$  is any subset of size  $k$  in  $G_v$ ;  $ID_{s_i}$

收稿日期: 2007-06-26

作者简介: 韩金广(1979-), 男, 助教, 硕士, 主要从事密码学、数字签名和密钥协议的研究. E-mail: jghan22@yahoo.com.cn.

基金项目: 国家自然科学基金资助项目(10471152)

denotes the identity of  $u_{s_i}$ ;  $ID_{v_j}$  denotes the identity of  $u_{v_j}$ ;  $E_s/E_v$  two elliptic curves;  $p_s/p_v$  two odd-prime numbers;  $F_{p_s}/F_{p_v}$  finite field of  $p_s$  and  $p_v$  elements, respectively;  $\alpha/\alpha_v$  base points on  $E_s$  and  $E_v$ , respectively;  $q_s/q_v$  orders of  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha_v$  separately in  $E_s$  and  $E_v$ , which are odd primes.

Each  $u_{s_i}$  in  $G_s$  performs the following three steps.

(1) Randomly choose an integer  $d_{s_i}$ .

(2) Randomly choose a  $(t-1)$ th degree polynomial  $f_{s_i}(x)$  over  $Z_{q_s}$  such that

$$f_{s_i}(x) = f_{s_i,0} + f_{s_i,1}x + \dots + f_{s_i,t-1} \cdot x^{t-1},$$

where  $f_{s_i,0}, f_{s_i,1}, \dots, f_{s_i,t-1}$  are in  $Z_{q_s}$ , and  $f_{s_i}(0) = f_{s_i,0} = d_{s_i}$ . Then send  $f_{s_i}(ID_{s_j})$  to  $u_{s_j} (\forall j \neq i)$  in  $G_s$  over a secret channel and broadcast the check values  $f_{s_i,l} \alpha_s (l = 1, 2, \dots, t-1)$  to the other participants in  $G_s$ . After receiving  $f_{s_i}(ID_{s_j})$  from  $u_{s_i}$ , each  $u_{s_j}$  verifies the validity of it by the following verification equation.

$$f_{s_i}(ID_{s_j}) \alpha_s = \sum_{l=1}^{t-1} (ID_{s_j})^l (f_{s_i,l} \alpha_s). \quad (1)$$

(3) Compute his/her private key  $k_{s_i} = \sum_{j=1}^n f_{s_j}(ID_{s_i})$ .

(4) Compute  $G_s$ 's public key  $Q_s = \sum_{j=1}^n f_{s_j,0} \alpha_s$  and his/her public key  $Q_{s_i} = k_{s_i} \alpha_s$ .

Similarly, each  $u_{v_i}$  in  $G_v$  perform the above steps. The result of performing those steps is listed in the following, as

$$k_{v_i} = \sum_{j=1}^l f_{v_j}(ID_{v_i})$$

and  $Q_{v_i} = k_{v_i} \alpha_v$  are separately  $u_{v_i}$ 's private key and public key; and  $Q_v = \sum_{j=1}^l f_{v_j,0} \alpha_v$  is  $G_v$ 's public key.

In summary, the system parameters are: (1) Public information of  $G_s$  and  $G_v$ :  $E_s/E_v, \alpha/\alpha_v, Q_s/Q_v, q_s/q_v$ ; (2) Public information of  $u_{s_i}$  in  $G_s$  and  $u_{v_i}$  in  $G_v$ :  $Q_{s_i}/Q_{v_i}, ID_{s_i}/ID_{v_i}$ ; (3) Secret information of  $u_{s_i}$  in  $G_s$  and  $u_{v_i}$  in  $G_v$ :  $k_{s_i}/k_{v_i}$ .

## 1.2 Individual Signature Generating and Verifying Phase

Assume that  $t$  participants  $u_{s_1}, u_{s_2}, \dots, u_{s_t}$  in  $G_s$  are to sign a message  $m$ . Each  $u_{s_i}$  performs the following four steps

(1) Compute a value  $e_{s_i}$  as

$$e_{s_i} = k_{s_i} a_{s_i}. \quad (2)$$

where  $a_{s_i} = \prod_{j \in G_s, j \neq i} (ID_{s_j} / (ID_{s_j} - ID_{s_i}))$ .

(2) Randomly choose an integer  $\omega_i$ , where  $1 \leq \omega_i \leq q_s - 1$ . Then, compute  $R_{s_i}$  as

$$R_{s_i} = \omega_i \alpha_s, \quad (3)$$

and broadcast it to the other participants in  $G_s$ .

(3) Compute a point  $(X, Y)$  as

$$(X, Y) = \sum_{i \in G_s} R_{s_i} = \sum_{i \in G_s} \omega_i \alpha_s. \quad (4)$$

(4) Compute the individual signature  $\{r, s_i\}$  as

$$r = X - h(m) \bmod q_s, \quad s_i = e_{s_i} r + \omega_i \bmod q_s. \quad (5)$$

To verify the correctness of the individual signature  $s_i$ , a participant may be randomly selected from  $G_s$  as a designated clerk. The clerk uses  $u_{s_i}$ 's public key  $Q_{s_i}$  and a base point  $\alpha$  to verify the individual signature as

$$R_{s_i} = s_i \alpha - r a_{s_i} Q_{s_i}. \quad (6)$$

If equation (6) holds, the individual signature  $(r, s_i)$  on message  $m$  is valid.

## 1.3 Threshold Signature Generation and Encrypting Phase

In this phase, the clerk combines  $t$  valid individual signature  $\{r, s_i\}$  into a threshold signature  $(r, s)$  and encrypts  $m$  by using the elliptic curve ElGamal cryptosystem as follow.

(1) Compute the signature  $s$  as

$$s = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{G}_s} s_i \bmod q_s, \quad (7)$$

$\{r, s\}$  is a group signature on message  $m$ .

(2) Express  $m$  as the  $x$ -coordinate of a point  $P_m$  on  $E_v$ . Then, choose a random integer  $\omega$ , where  $1 \leq \omega \leq q_v - 1$ .

(3) Compute  $B$  and the ciphertext  $C$  as

$$B = \omega \alpha_v \bmod q_v, \quad C = P_m + \omega Q_v \bmod q_v. \quad (8)$$

(4) Transfer  $(r, s)$  and  $(B, C)$  to the verifying group  $G_v$ .

#### 1.4 Decrypting and Threshold Signature Verifying Phase

Assume that each  $k$  participants  $u_{v_1}, u_{v_2}, \dots, u_{v_k}$  in  $g_v$  wants to use his/her own private key  $k_{v_i}$  to collaborate recover the message and authenticate the signature by performing the following three steps.

(1) Compute a value  $e_{v_i}$  as

$$e_{v_i} = B k_{v_i} a_{v_i}, \quad (9)$$

where  $a_{v_i} = \prod_{j \in G_v, j \neq i} (\text{ID}_{v_j} / (\text{ID}_{v_j} - \text{ID}_{v_i}))$ . Next, transfer  $e_{v_i}$  to a clerk randomly selected from  $G_v$ .

(2) The clerk computes a point  $P_m$  as

$$P_m = C - \sum_{i \in \mathcal{G}_v} e_{v_i}, \quad (10)$$

and recover  $m$  from the  $x$ -coordinate of  $P_m$ .

(3) Compute  $X$ -coordinate as

$$X = r + h(m) \bmod q_s, \quad (11)$$

and compute the corresponding  $Y$ -coordinate on  $E_s$ .

The signature can be verified by the signing group's public key  $Q_s$  and the base point  $\alpha$  as

$$(X, Y) = s\alpha - rQ_s. \quad (12)$$

If equation (12) holds, the signature  $\{r, s\}$  on message  $m$  is valid.

## 2 Cryptanalysis of Chang's Scheme

In this section, we shall show that Chang's scheme cannot withstand the impersonation attack, and violates the basic definition requirement of the  $(t, n)$  threshold signature with  $(k, l)$  threshold shared verification.

Suppose that  $t$  proxy signers  $u'_{s_1}, u'_{s_2}, \dots, u'_{s_t}$  in  $g'_s$  and  $k$  verifiers  $u'_{v_1}, u'_{v_2}, \dots, u'_{v_k}$  in  $g'_v$  want to cooperatively impersonate another  $t$  proxy signers  $u_{s_1}, u_{s_2}, \dots, u_{s_t}$  in  $g_s$  and  $k$  verifiers  $u_{v_1}, u_{v_2}, \dots, u_{v_k}$  in  $g_v$  to generate and authenticate a valid threshold signature for any message  $m'$ . They can execute the following twelve steps.

(1) Each  $u'_{s_i}$  can receive  $f_{s_j}(\text{ID}'_{s_i})$  from  $u_{s_j}$ , where  $\text{ID}'_{s_i}$  denotes the identity of  $u'_{s_i}$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Then  $t$  proxy signers  $u'_{s_1}, u'_{s_2}, \dots, u'_{s_t}$  can cooperatively reconstruct each  $(t-1)$ th degree polynomial  $f_{s_j}(x)$  by Lagrange interpolation formula, namely  $f_{s_j}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^t f_{s_j}(\text{ID}'_{s_i}) a'_{s_i}$ , where  $a'_{s_i} = \prod_{c \in g'_s, c \neq i} (x - \text{ID}'_{s_c} / (\text{ID}'_{s_c} - \text{ID}'_{s_i}))$ , for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . So they can compute any proxy signer  $u'_{s_j}$ 's private key  $k_{s_j} = \sum_{i=1}^n f_{s_i}(\text{ID}_{s_j})$  and his public key  $Q_{s_j} = k_{s_j} \alpha$ , for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, t$ .

Similarly,  $k$  verifiers  $u'_{v_1}, u'_{v_2}, \dots, u'_{v_k}$  can cooperatively reconstruct each  $(k-1)$ th degree polynomial  $f_{v_j}(x)$  by Lagrange interpolation formula, namely  $f_{v_j}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^k f_{v_j}(\text{ID}'_{v_i}) a'_{v_i}$ , where  $a'_{v_i} = \prod_{c \in g'_v, c \neq i} (x - \text{ID}'_{v_c} / (\text{ID}'_{v_c} - \text{ID}'_{v_i}))$ , for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, l$ . So they can compute any verifier  $u'_{v_j}$ 's private key

$k_{v_j} = \sum_{i=1}^l f_{v_i}(\text{ID}_{v_j})$  and his public key  $Q_{v_j} = k_{v_j} \alpha$ , for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, l$ . (2) Each  $u'_{s_i}$  compute the value  $e_{s_j}$  as

$$e_{s_j} = k_{s_j} a_{s_j}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, t. \quad (13)$$

(3) Each  $u'_{s_i}$  randomly choose a integer  $\omega'_i$ , where  $1 \leq \omega'_i \leq q_s - 1$ . Then, compute  $R'_{s_i}$  as  $R'_{s_i} = \omega'_i \alpha$ , and broadcast it to the other participants in  $g'_s$ .

(4) Compute a point  $(X', Y')$  as

$$(X', Y') = \sum_{i \in g'_s} R'_{s_i} = \sum_{i \in g'_s} \omega'_i \alpha. \quad (14)$$

(5) Compute the individual signature  $\{r', s'_i\}$  as

$$r' = X' - h(m') \bmod q_s, \quad s'_i = e_{s_i} r' + \omega'_i \bmod q_s. \quad (15)$$

Then  $u'_{s_i}$  sends the individual signature for message  $m'$  to the designated clerk. The clerk verifies the correctness of  $(r', s'_i)$  by the equation as

$$R'_{s_i} = s'_i \alpha - r' a_{s_i} Q_{s_i}. \quad (16)$$

So the clerk think that  $u_{s_i}$  want to take part in the threshold signature for the message  $m'$ , because his private key  $k_{s_i}$  has been used to the generation of the individual signature  $(r', s'_i)$ .

The clerk combines  $t$  valid individual signature  $(r', s'_i)$  into a threshold signature  $(r', s')$  and encrypts  $m'$  by using the elliptic curve ElGamal cryptosystem.

(6) Compute the signature  $s'$  as  $s' = \sum_{i \in g'_s} s'_i \bmod q_s$ ,  $(r', s')$  is a group signature on message  $m'$ .

(7) Express  $m'$  as the  $x$ -coordinate of a point  $P'_m$  on  $E_v$ . Then, choose a random integer  $\omega'_c$ , where  $1 \leq \omega'_c \leq q_v - 1$ .

(8) Compute  $B'$  and the ciphertext  $C'$  as

$$B' = \omega'_c \alpha_v \bmod q_v, \quad C' = P'_m + \omega'_c Q_v \bmod q_v. \quad (17)$$

(9) Transfer  $(r', s')$  and  $(B', C')$  to the verifying group  $G_v$ .

(10) Each  $u'_{v_i}$  computes value  $e'_{v_i}$  as

$$e'_{v_i} = B' k_{v_i} a_{v_i}. \quad (18)$$

Next, transfer  $e'_{v_i}$  to the designated clerk of  $G_v$ . The clerk think that  $u_{v_i}$  want to take part in the verification of the threshold signature for message  $m'$ , because his private key  $k_{v_i}$  is used to compute the value  $e'_{v_i}$ .

(11) The clerk computes a point  $P'_m$  as

$$P'_m = C' - \sum_{i \in g'_v} e'_{v_i}, \quad (19)$$

and recover  $m'$  from the  $x$ -coordinate of  $P'_m$ .

(12) Computes  $X'$ -coordinate as

$$X' = r' + h(m') \bmod q_s, \quad (20)$$

and computes the corresponding  $Y'$ -coordinate on  $E_s$ .

The signature can be verified by the signing group's public key  $Q_s$  and the base point  $\alpha$  as

$$(X', Y') = s' \alpha - r' Q_s. \quad (21)$$

So the  $t$  proxy signers  $u'_{s_1}, u'_{s_2}, \dots, u'_{s_t}$  in  $g'_s$  and  $k$  verifiers  $u'_{v_1}, u'_{v_2}, \dots, u'_{v_k}$  in  $g'_v$  cooperatively impersonate another  $t$  proxy signers  $u_{s_1}, u_{s_2}, \dots, u_{s_t}$  in  $g_s$  and  $k$  verifiers  $u_{v_1}, u_{v_2}, \dots, u_{v_k}$  in  $g_v$  to generate and authenticate a valid threshold signature. Because the private key  $k_{s_i}$  of  $u_{s_i}$  and the private key  $k_{v_i}$  of  $u_{v_i}$  are be used to generating the individual signature and authenticate the threshold signature.

### 3 Conclusion

In this paper, we have shown that Chang's scheme is vulnerable to impersonation attack. In their scheme, the participant  $u_{s_i}$ 's and  $u_{v_i}$ 's private key  $k_{s_i}$  and  $k_{v_i}$  is generated by the value of  $\sum_{j=1}^n f_{s_j}(\text{ID}_{s_i})$

and  $\sum_{j=1}^l f_{v_j}(\text{ID}_{v_i})$ . However, the every value  $f_{s_j}(\text{ID}_{s_i})$  and  $f_{v_j}(\text{ID}_{v_i})$  is sent by  $u_{s_j}$  and  $u_{v_j}$ , namely  $u_{s_i}'$ s and  $u_{v_i}'$ s private key  $k_{s_i}$  and  $k_{v_i}$  is not relative to themselves. It is this reason that bring to impersonal attack to their scheme.

References:

[ 1 ] DESMEDT Y, FRANKEL Y. Shared generation of authentication[ J]. Advances in Cryptology, Proceedings of the CRYPTO', 1991, 91: 457-469.

[ 2 ] WANG C T, CHANG C C, LIN C H. Generalization of threshold signature and authenticated encryption for group communications[ J]. IEICE Trans Fund, 2000, 83(6): 1228-1237.

[ 3 ] HSU C L, WU T S, WU T C. Improvements of threshold signature and authenticated encryption for group communication[ J]. Inform Process Letter, 2002, 81(1): 41-45.

[ 4 ] CHANG T Y, YANG C C, HWANG M S. A threshold signature scheme for group communications without a shared distribution center[ J]. Future Generation Computer Systems, 2004, 20: 1013-1021.

[ 5 ] SUN H M. An efficient nonrepudiable threshold proxy signature scheme with known signers[ J]. Comput Communications, 1999, 22: 717-722.

[ 6 ] HWANG M S, LIN L C, ERIC J L. A secure nonrepudiable threshold proxy signature scheme with known signers[ J]. Informatica, 2000, 11(2): 137-144.

[ 7 ] HWANG S L, CHEN C C. Cryptanalysis of nonrepudiable threshold proxy signature with known signers[ J]. Information Security Conference, 2002, 5: 243-246.

[ 8 ] HWANG S J, CHEN C C. New multi-proxy multi-signature schemes[ J]. Applied Mathematics and Computation, 2004, 147: 57-67.

[ 9 ] TZENG S F, YANG C Y, HWANG M S. A nonrepudiable threshold multi-proxy multi-signature scheme with shared verification[ J]. Future Generation Computer Systems, 2004, 20: 887-893.

[ 10 ] HWANG S J, CHEN C C. New threshold proxy threshold signature schemes[ J]. Computer and Electrical Engineering, 2005, 31: 69-80.

[ 11 ] HSU C L, WU T S, HE W H. New proxy multi-signature scheme[ J]. Applied Mathematics and Computation, 2005, 162: 1201-1206.

[ 12 ] HSU C L, WU T S, WU T C. New nonrepudiable threshold proxy signature scheme with known signers[ J]. The Journal of Systems and Software, 2001, 58: 119-124.

[ 13 ] TZENG S F, HWANG M S, YANG C Y. An improvement of nonrepudiable threshold proxy signature scheme with known signers[ J]. Computers & Security, 2004, 23: 174-178.

[ 14 ] YANG C Y, TZENG S F, HWANG M S. On the efficiency of nonrepudiable threshold proxy signature scheme with known signers[ J]. The Journal of Systems and Software, 2004, 73: 507-514.

# 面向群通信的门限签名方案的密码学分析

韩金广<sup>1</sup>, 亢保元<sup>2</sup>, 王庆菊<sup>3</sup>

(1. 河海大学 理学院, 江苏 南京 210098; 2. 中南大学 数学科学与计算技术学院, 湖南 长沙 410075;  
3. 绍兴文理学院 数学系, 浙江 绍兴 312000)

摘要: 探讨 Chang 等提出的面向群通信的  $(t, n)$  门限签名  $(k, 1)$  门限验证的数字签名方案. 分析认为, 由于方案不需要分发中心(SDC), 任何  $t$  个参与者可以代替一个群(签名群)对一个信息签名, 并且任何  $k$  个参与者可代替另外一个群(验证群)对签名进行验证, 因此, 不能抵抗假冒攻击.

关键词: 密码分析; 假冒攻击; 代理签名; 门限密码体制

(责任编辑: 黄仲一 英文审校: 吴逢铁)